

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/501,783	07/16/2004	Stephan Hase	LE/GB 030029US	6574
30996 7590 01/31/2008 ROBERT W. BECKER & ASSOCIATES 707 HIGHWAY 333			EXAMINER	
			GROSSO, HARRY A	
SUITE.B TIJERAS, NM	87059-7507	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		PAPER NUMBER
			3781	
		•		·
	·		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		A 10 //)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/501,783	HASE, STEPHAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
رن.	Harry A. Grosso	3781				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 No.	ovember 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
,—	S) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 8-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 8-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	I P					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3781

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 2. Claims 6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rolfe et al (4,287,997) (Rolfe).
- 3. Regarding claim 6, Rolfe discloses a transport container with means disposed in corner regions of an outer side (30, 31, Figures 1-3, column 2, lines 41-52) that position the container in a defined manner relative to an adjacent container to horizontally interlock the containers.
- 4. Regarding claim 8, the means (30) is u-shaped.
- 5. Regarding claim 9, the means (30) is beveled in an inwardly sloping manner in the upper section, at the top end of the channel (30).
- 6. Regarding claim, 10, the means (30) is beveled in an inwardly sloping manner in the lower section, at the bottom of the channel (30).
- 7. Claims 6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tantlinger et al (3,092,282) (Tantlinger).
- 8. Regarding claim 6, Tantlinger discloses transport containers with means disposed in corner regions of an outer side (204, 206, Figures 5-7, column 3, lines 17-

- 32) that positions one container in a defined manner relative to an adjacent container to horizontally interlock the containers.
- 9. Regarding claim 8, the means are hook shaped.
- 10. Regarding claim 9, the upper sections of the hooks (206) are beveled in an inwardly sloping manner on the hook face (218).
- 11. Regarding claim 10, the lower sections of the hooks (204) are beveled in an inwardly sloping manner on the hook face (216).

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6 and 8-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Eggert, Jr. (3,711,902), Bennett et al (3,163,306) and Greer et al (3,073,466) disclose transport containers with means for horizontal interlock.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry A. Grosso whose telephone number is 571-272-4539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on 571-272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/501,783

Art Unit: 3781

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anthony Stashick

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3781

hag